

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/115,654

Applicant(s)

Shibata

Examiner

Clark F. Dexter

Art Unit

3724

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mr. Amir Behnia

(3) _____

(2) Mr. Clark Dexter

(4) _____

Date of Interview Jan 3, 2003Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Claim(s) discussed: all in general, specifically claim 21

Identification of prior art discussed:

NoneAgreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Mr. Behnia proposed an amended claim 21 to obviate the outstanding rejection under 35 USC 112 discussed in the miscellaneous Office action (paper no. 26). The Examiner stated that this proposed amendment and similar amendments in the applicable claims would obviate the "112" rejections. Mr. Behnia further inquired as to whether the claims previously indicated as allowable were still considered to be allowable since no indication of such was given in the previous Office action (paper no. 24). Mr. Dexter stated that while further consideration would be given to all of the claims upon receipt of applicant's response, the claims indicated as allowable, specifically claims 1, 12-19 and 21-34, are still considered to be allowable over the prior art of record. Mr. Dexter stated that the large number of new claims added, specifically claims 35-50, 53 and 54, must be considered separately and may require an additional search and will require independent consideration with respect to the prior art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

CLARK F. DEXTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3724

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required